

## SUSTAINABILITY CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE

# Scope 3 disclosures are here to stay



Fate Saghir SVP, Head of Sustainability Mackenzie Investments



Merriam Haffar Corporate Engagement Lead Mackenzie Investments

In March 2024, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) enacted the legislation that would require U.S.-listed companies to publicly report their climate-related risks and impacts. The long-awaited SEC Climate Disclosure Rules were released following months of intense public debate (including fierce opposition¹) and a record 24,000 comments² submitted by companies, investors, auditors, legislators and other groups.

Now, and for the first ever time in the U.S., corporate climate disclosures would become mandatory in SEC filings and would be subject to the same level of scrutiny and audit requirements as for financial statements – ultimately putting climate disclosures on par with financial disclosures. In doing so, this groundbreaking ruling was intended to help make these climate disclosures "more reliable" and "provide investors with consistent, comparable, decision-useful information, and issuers with clear reporting requirements," according to Gary Gensler, the SEC Chair.<sup>3</sup>

However, the SEC made key omissions, including most notably, dropping requirements for the disclosure of valuechain emissions, otherwise known as Scope 3.4

Scope 3 emissions represent one of the Grand Challenges of Net Zero, and what the London Stock Exchange Group calls "one of the most vexing problems in climate finance." These emissions are broad, spanning multiple sources upstream and downstream of company operations, and often across multiple tiers of suppliers and customers. They are also complex, as Scope 3 emissions are both costly and challenging to estimate, let alone measure

directly. And often, they represent the overwhelming majority of a company's overall emissions footprint. Increasingly, Scope 3 also represents a major obstacle for investors who are looking to cut financed emissions across their portfolios and meet net-zero commitments.

By excluding Scope 3, the SEC ruling has prompted companies and investors to wonder if this would be the end of Scope 3 disclosures for U.S. issuers and for corporate carbon accountability.

#### Scope 3 disclosures are on the rise, globally and in the U.S.

Here's a quick primer on Scope 3. Within carbon accounting, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are divided into three discrete 'scopes' based on where the emissions are created across a company's operations and its wider value chain—as shown in **Figure 1**.

While companies have more control and influence over their Scope 1 and 2 emissions, Scope 3 emissions are generally more significant and result from companies' supply chains ('upstream Scope 3') and use of companies' products by consumers ('downstream Scope 3'). Scope 3 is more complex for companies to track or estimate, but if gone unmanaged, may present financial risks, ranging from declining product competitiveness as consumer awareness for global warming increases, to higher cost of capital as insurers and investors aim to manage their own exposure.

SCOPE 2 **SCOPE 1** INDIRECT DIRECT **SCOPE 3 SCOPE 3** INDIRECT INDIRECT purchased goods and services transportation and distribution leased assets investments purchased electricity, steam, heating & cooling for own use capital goods company facilities processing of sold products employee commuting franchises fuel and energy related activities leased assets business travel company vehicles use of sold products transportation and distribution waste generated in operations end-of-life treatment of sold products REPORTING COMPANY **UPSTREAM ACTIVITIES DOWNSTREAM ACTIVITIES** 

Figure 1: Overview of GHG scopes and emissions across the company value chain.

Source: GHG Protocol (2013).

Given the complexity and wide reach of these emissions, it comes as no surprise that globally, fewer companies report on Scope 1, 2 *and* 3 emissions, as compared to on Scope 1 and 2 alone – and U.S.-based companies in particular tend to lag on Scope 3 reporting.<sup>6</sup>

However, the number of companies, both globally and in the U.S., that are reporting on their Scope 3 emissions has been consistently increasing year-over-year. According to the MSCI Net Zero Tracker<sup>7</sup>, as at January 2024, approximately 42% of listed companies globally disclosed at least some of their Scope 3 emissions – a 17% increase compared to two years ago.

This disclosure trend is echoed by CDP.8 Of the 1,077 U.S.-based companies that reported on the CDP Climate Change questionnaire in 2023, only 13% did not report any Scope 3 emissions.9

We see this trend reflected within our Mackenzie climate action engagements across U.S.-based issuers. Of the 100 companies with whom we engage via Mackenzie's thematic climate engagement program, 41 are U.S. based, and of these companies:

- 44% have committed to SBTi (Science Based Target initiative) or set a SBTi validated target
- 41% have set GHG targets that include Scope 3 emissions
- 73% report in line with TCFD (Taskforce for Climate-Related Financial Disclosures)
- 76% report to CDP

From our climate engagement discussions, we are seeing a modest but consistent year-over-year increase in Scope 3 emission disclosure. Listed below are some notable examples of U.S. companies leading the way on disclosing and abating Scope 3 emissions.

### Ahead of the pack: U.S. companies leading on Scope 3 disclosure, based on Mackenzie's climate engagements

Marathon Petroleum Corp. (MPC) is a leading integrated, downstream energy company, based in Findlay, Ohio. Marathon reports on Scope 3 Category 11: Use of Sold Products,\* which is the largest source of the company's overall Scope 3 footprint. In addition to this, Marathon has also set a 2030 target to reduce absolute Scope 3 Category 11 emissions by 15% below 2019 levels on refined product. This target is informed by methodologies devised by the SBTi and lpieca,\*\* and aims to demonstrate the competitiveness of Marathon's business within the global market.

Linde PLC (LIN) is one of the world's largest industrial gases (such as ammonia and hydrogen) and engineering companies that is helping enable the clean energy transition. With operations spanning more than 80 countries, including the U.K. and the U.S., Linde is subject to various climate disclosure rules globally. Therefore, it's not surprising that Linde currently reports on 14 categories of Scope 3 emissions representing all relevant categories for Linde. About 40% of this inventory has been verified

by a third party to the limited assurance level. Linde has set a SBTi-validated target to reduce its Scope 1 and 2 emissions, and is working on emissions estimation and methodology development in anticipation of setting additional Scope 3 emissions reduction targets by 2026.

WEC Energy Group Inc. (WEC) is one of the largest electric generation and distribution and natural gas delivery group of companies in the U.S., based in the Midwest. WEC recently undertook an extensive review of all 15 categories of Scope 3 emissions across their organization to build the company's first Scope 3 inventory. The disclosure was a cross-functional effort, relying on subject matter experts across WEC's supply chain, finance, gas distribution, fuels, energy efficiency and environmental teams, and overseen by a dedicated Scope 3 Executive Steering Committee. WEC currently discloses multiple categories of Scope 3 through its ESG reporting.

Based on public disclosure and our own experience, company efforts to disclose and abate Scope 3 emissions continue even as the SEC removes its focus on Scope 3.

#### What's driving this increased disclosure?

These emissions disclosure trends are being driven by several factors, but most notably by the shifting regulatory landscape around Scope 3 and the rise of climate disclosure rules globally.

During the two years that the SEC spent deliberating on the final rules, a suite of climate disclosure rules and standards emerged, all of which included provisions for the disclosure of emissions across a company's Scope 1, 2 and 3. In

2023, the European Union's (EU) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive entered into force, the International Sustainability Standards Board released its IFRS S2 standard, and the state of California passed the S.B. 253, the Climate Corporate Data Accountability Act.

We operate in a globalized economy – companies have global value chains, global consumers and global investors. Rather than seeing a form of 'accounting arbitrage,' and a race to the lowest level of disclosure when it comes to emissions reporting, what we predict is increased

<sup>\*</sup> Per the GHG Protocol, emissions classified as Scope 3 - Category 11: Use of Sold Products are the GHGs emitted during the use of a company's sold products [Source: GHG Protocol (2013) - Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions (V1.0)].

<sup>\*\*</sup> Ipieca is a global not-for-profit, oil-and-gas industry association for environmental and social issues.

disclosures for companies that operate globally. Texas-based firms doing business in California or in the EU, for example, may now be required to disclose their Scope 3 emissions, regardless of the SEC final ruling.

We see this divergence in Scope 3 disclosure rules between the U.S. and other parts of the world as analogous to the longer-standing divergence between the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), where the U.S. decided to maintain their own accounting standards.

In the early 2000s, the International Accounting Standards Board released a new accounting standard, the IFRS, which was intended to establish global common accounting standards. However, the U.S. continued following US GAAP, leaving many U.S. issuers to report in adherence with both GAAP and IFRS.

#### The direction of travel on Scope 3 disclosure is more, not less

We believe a notable paradigm shift has emerged regarding Scope 3 emissions reporting. Even if the SEC has dropped requirements for mandatory Scope 3 disclosure, U.S. issuers choosing to access global investors and supply chains will be required to adopt international climate disclosures. Ultimately, this leads us to believe that the direction of travel on Scope 3 disclosure is likely to be more, not less.

- 1 AP. (2022, June). Companies weigh in on proposed SEC climate disclosure rule.
- 2 U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Comments for The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors.
- 3 U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Statement on Final Rules Regarding Mandatory Climate Risk Disclosures.
- 4 Scope 3 emissions are the result of activities from assets not owned or controlled by the reporting organization, but that the organization indirectly affects in its value chain.
- Bloomberg. Investors Wrestle With the 'Scope 3 Conundrum'.
- The MSCI Net-Zero Tracker, April 2024
- 7 The MSCI Net-Zero Tracker, April 2024
- 8 CDP is a not-for-profit charity that runs the global disclosure system for investors, companies, cities, states and regions to manage their environmental impacts.
- 9 CDP. 2024

Issued by Mackenzie Financial Corporation ("Mackenzie Investments"). For institutional use only.

This material is provided for marketing and informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice or an offer of investment products or services (or an invitation to make such an offer). Certain information contained in this document is obtained from third parties. Mackenzie Investments believes such information to be accurate and reliable as at June 30, 2024, however, we cannot guarantee that it is accurate or complete or current at all times. The information provided is subject to change without notice and Mackenzie Investments cannot be held liable for any loss arising from any use of or reliance on the information contained in this document. No portion of this communication may be reproduced or distributed to anyone without the express permission of Mackenzie Investments. Examples related to specific securities are not intended to constitute investment advice or any form of recommendation in relation to those securities. This material contains forward-looking statements which reflect our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward looking statements are inherently subject to, among other things, risks, uncertainties and assumptions which could cause actual events, results, performance or prospects to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Please do not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.