



# How the sequence of returns can impact your retirement savings



# Will your savings last through retirement?

For investors entering retirement, high portfolio returns are important, but they are only one factor influencing how long their savings will last. Another factor is the order or sequence of returns. There is a simple mathematical reason for this: regular withdrawals progressively diminish a portfolio's dollar value and that dollar value is the base on which future returns compound. When negative returns occur near the outset, the investor is left with a smaller base on which future positive returns can compound.

Over time that base continues to decline with each additional income withdrawal. This could result in retirement savings running out much sooner than if the portfolio experienced positive returns at the start of the withdrawal period.

### Illustrative scenarios

The best way to illustrate this risk is to look at three different scenarios. Three retirees starting the withdrawal period with identical savings can have entirely different financial outcomes, depending on the sequence of their returns. The following hypothetical example in Figure 1 presents these scenarios over a five-year period.

In Scenario 1, the sequence of returns goes from the most positive returns in the first year to the most negative in the final year. Scenario 2 starts with the most negative returns and moves forward to the most positive. Finally, Scenario 3 earns a constant (average) return in each of the five years.

Fig. 1 | Illustrative scenarios

| Year               | Return scenario 1 Early positive returns | Return scenario 2<br>Early negative returns | Return scenario 3<br>Constant returns |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1                  | 15%                                      | -7%                                         | 5%                                    |
| 2                  | 13%                                      | -5%                                         | 5%                                    |
| 3                  | 11%                                      | 11%                                         | 5%                                    |
| 4                  | -5%                                      | 13%                                         | 5%                                    |
| 5                  | -7%                                      | 15%                                         | 5%                                    |
| Total return       | 27%                                      | 27%                                         | 27%                                   |
| Average annualized | 5%                                       | 5%                                          | 5%                                    |

Each scenario follows a different path, but all end with a compounded total return of 27% and an average annualized rate of return of 5% over five years.



### The outcome if no withdrawals are made

The sequence of returns has no impact on the portfolio's final dollar value when no withdrawals (or additions) are made over the course of the five-year investment. As Figure 2 illustrates, the ending value in all three scenarios is the same, even though each travels a different path to arrive there.

### What happens when withdrawals are made?

However, if income is withdrawn from the portfolio during the period, the end result for each scenario could be very different. The portfolio that experiences the negative returns at the beginning is not able to keep up with the portfolio that experiences the positive returns up front. This is because when the positive returns come later they compound on a smaller and declining base. The result is that the ending dollar value is lower than it is with the two other scenarios.

Figure 3 illustrates what happens when \$5,000 is withdrawn every month (for a total of \$60,000 a year). The longer the time period, the larger this divergence could become.

Figure 4 shows the same five-year sequence of returns repeated six times to create a 30-year period. Even though all three scenarios over this period would have earned an average annualized rate of return of 5%, the dollar value of each portfolio would continue to grow apart. Eventually, the portfolio in Scenario 2 (beginning with negative returns) would run out of money before the others. The portfolio in Scenario 1 (beginning with positive returns), on the other hand, would have ended with a dollar value of \$763,747, and would have been able to continue paying income.


Fig. 2 | No withdrawals



Fig. 3 | Withdrawals



Fig. 4 | Extended period of withdrawals



For illustrative purposes only.



## Sequence of returns in the "real world"


It is very unlikely that two actual portfolios would perform as they did in the above scenarios, with one experiencing returns that move from high to low, and the other the exact opposite. Sequence-of-returns risk, however, can manifest itself in similar scenarios when a significant negative market event occurs near the beginning of an investment period.

To illustrate, we've created a hypothetical example in Figure 5 using actual market data. Investor A entered the market on January 1, 1998. Investor B entered seven months later on August 1, 1998. In mid-August, the Russian financial crisis hit.

Although the investments were made only seven months apart, the outcomes after 25 years are nearly \$1.1M apart. One portfolio obviously benefits from the initial positive performance before the Russian financial crisis caused a correction, while the other did not, making this more of a timing issue.


Sequence-of-returns risk, however, is magnified when regular monthly withdraws of \$5,000 are made during the same return series. In Figure 6, the performance looks different because each portfolio's dollar value - its compounding base – is declining as withdrawals are made throughout the period.

Fig. 5 | Sequence of returns risk



Source: Morningstar, based on the S&P 500. For illustrative purposes only

Fig. 6 | Sequence of returns risk with withdrawals



Source: Morningstar, based on the S&P 500. For illustrative purposes only

# Enhancing and preserving portfolio stability across the entire investing life-cycle

Controlling the effects of market volatility on a portfolio could be one way to reduce sequence-of-returns risk. Retirees today may wish to consider moving beyond traditional asset classes and strategies to manage volatility while enhancing returns.

An alternative approach could be one solution. Alternative solutions take two main forms: those that offer access to alternative asset classes such as commodities, currencies and real estate and those that use alternative strategies such as shorting and leverage. Both types of alternative solutions offer enhanced diversification via the use of asset classes and strategies with lower correlation to traditional assets, which leads to potentially higher returns, lower volatility in a portfolio for a smoother and less stressful investment experience, and preserving capital over a longer-term horizon.



# **Mackenzie Monthly Income Portfolios**

Mackenzie Monthly Income Conservative Portfolio, Mackenzie Monthly Income **Balanced Portfolio and the Mackenzie Monthly Income Growth Portfolio are** globally-diversified, multi-asset solutions that can:

- Provide monthly income through yield and capital gains
- · Help protect your money during market downturns
- Deliver some growth potential to offset longevity and inflation risks
- Offer professional management by a seasoned team with extensive asset allocation and risk management experience

To learn how Mackenzie Monthly Income Portfolios can help manage sequence-of-returns risk, call your financial advisor today or click here to visit our website.

Mutual funds are distributed by LBC Financial services Inc. (LBCFS). The Financial Planning service is offered by LBCFS. LBCFS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Laurentian Bank and a legal entity distinct from Laurentian Bank and Mackenzie Investments. Mutual funds offered by LBCFS are part of the Laurentian Bank Group of Funds managed by Mackenzie Investments. A Laurentian Bank advisor is also a licensed LBCFS Mutual Fund Representative.

Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments and the use of an asset allocation service. Please read the prospectus of the mutual funds in which investment may be made under the asset allocation service before investing. Mutual funds are not quaranteed, their values change frequently and past performance may not be repeated. Index performance does not include the impact of fees, commissions, and expenses that would be payable by investors in the investment products that seek to track an index.

The content of this document (including facts, views, opinions, recommendations, descriptions of or references to, products or securities) is not to be used or construed as investment advice, as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, or an endorsement, recommendation or sponsorship of any entity or security cited. Although we endeavour to ensure its accuracy and completeness, we assume no responsibility for any reliance upon it. The payment of distributions is not quaranteed and may fluctuate. The payment of distributions should not be confused with a fund's performance, rate of return or yield. If distributions paid by the fund are greater than the performance of the fund, your original investment will shrink. Distributions paid as a result of capital gains realized by a fund, and income and dividends earned by a fund are taxable in your hands in the year they are paid. Your adjusted cost base will be reduced by the amount of any returns of capital. If your adjusted cost base goes below zero, you will have to pay capital gains tax on the amount below zero.